



COEFFICIENT INEQUALITIES FOR CERTAIN SUBCLASSES OF p-VALENT FUNCTIONS

K. Saroja

Department of Mathematics
 Women's Degree College
 Guntur

Abstract:

The object of this paper is to introduce a subclass of p-valent functions and obtain the coefficient inequalities. Fekete-Szego inequality for the functions in this class. 2000 Mathematic subject classification. Primary 30C45.

Key Words: p-valent functions, convex, starlike functions.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A}_p denote the class of all p-valent functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} z^{n+p} \quad \dots \quad (1.1)$$

in the open unit disc $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$

Here $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $S_p^*(\beta)$ and $C_p(\beta)$ be the classes consisting of the functions $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ and satisfying the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \beta \quad \text{and}$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \beta \quad \text{respectively}$$

for some $\beta (0 \leq \beta < p)$, $z \in U$.



These classes are known as p -valent starlike functions of order α and p -valent convex functions of order α respectively.

Several authors ([1][2][3][4]) have studied various subclasses of p -valent functions and obtained coefficient inequalities for the functions $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ in these classes.

In this present paper we define a generalized subclass of p -valent function and study the coefficient inequalities and Fekete-Szego inequality for the functions in this class. The results of this paper generalize and unify several earlier results in this direction.

Definition 1.1: Let $R_{s_p}(\alpha, \lambda, \beta)$ be the class of all functions $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ satisfying the condition.

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \lambda} z^2 f''(z)}{\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \lambda}\right) f(z) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \lambda}\right) zf'(z)} \right) > \beta, \quad \forall z \in U \quad (1.2)$$

Here $\alpha \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0, (\alpha, \lambda) \neq (0, 0), 0 \leq \beta < p$ and p is a fixed positive integer.

It is noted that

$R_{s_p}(0, 1, \beta) = S_p^*(\beta)$ and $R_{s_p}(1, 0, \beta) = C_p(\beta)$ are the usual classes of p -valent starlike and convex functions of order β .

To prove our results we require the following lemma.

Lemma (1.1) [1]: If $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$ is a function with positive real part then for any complex number ν , we have

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| \leq 2 \operatorname{Max} \{1, |2\nu - 1|\}$$

This result is sharp for the functions

$$p(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2} \quad \text{and} \quad p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$$



In the next sections we obtain the coefficient inequality and Fekete-Szego inequality for the function f in the class $RS_p(\alpha, \lambda, \beta)$.

2. Coefficient Inequalities

In this section we first obtain a sufficient condition for the functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ to be in the class $RS_p(\alpha, \lambda, \beta)$.

Theorem 2.1: If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ and satisfies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\alpha(n+p) + \lambda] [n+p-\beta] |a_{n+p}| \leq [p-\beta][\alpha p + \lambda] \quad \dots \quad (2.1)$$

For $\alpha \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0, (\alpha, \lambda) \neq (0,0)$ and $0 \leq \beta < p$ then $f(z) \in RS_p(\alpha, \lambda, \beta)$.

Proof: Let $f(z)$ satisfies the condition in (2.1).

To prove $f(z) \in RS_p(\alpha, \lambda, \beta)$.

Using the technique

$$\operatorname{Re}(w) > \beta \Leftrightarrow \frac{|w-p|}{|w+p-2\beta|} < 1, \text{ from the definition (1.1) consider}$$

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\lambda}\right)z^2 f''(z)}{\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\lambda}\right)f(z) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\lambda}\right)zf'(z)} - p \right| \dots (2.2)$$

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\lambda}\right)z^2 f''(z)}{\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\lambda}\right)f(z) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\lambda}\right)zf'(z)} + p - 2\beta \right|$$

Replacing the values of $f(z), f'(z)$ and $f''(z)$ with their equivalent series expressions in (2.2) and after simplification. We have



$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| (\alpha + \lambda) \left[pz^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} (n+p) z^{n+p} \right] + \alpha \left[p(p-1)z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} (n+p)(n+p-1)z^{n+p} \right] \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - p\lambda \left[z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} z^{n+p} \right] - \alpha p \left[pz^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} (n+p) z^{n+p} \right] \right| \\
 & \frac{\left| (\alpha + \lambda) \left[pz^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} (n+p) z^{n+p} \right] + \alpha \left[p(p-1)z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} (n+p)(n+p-1)z^{n+p} \right] \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \lambda(p-2\beta) \left[z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} z^{n+p} \right] + \alpha(p-2\beta) \left[pz^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} (n+p) z^{n+p} \right] \right| \\
 & \frac{\left| \left[p(\alpha + \lambda) + p(p-1)\alpha - p\lambda - p^2\alpha \right] z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[(\alpha + \lambda)(n+p) + \alpha(n+p)(n+p-1) \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. - p\lambda - \alpha p(n+p) \right] a_{n+p} z^{n+p} \right|}{\left| \left[p(\alpha + \lambda) + \alpha p(p-1) + \lambda(p-2\beta) + \alpha p(p-2\beta) \right] z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[(\alpha + \lambda)(n+p) + \alpha(n+p)(n+p-1) \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + \lambda(p-2\beta) + \alpha(p-2\beta)(n+p) \right] a_{n+p} z^{n+p} \right|} \\
 & = \frac{\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[n\alpha(n+p) + n\lambda \right] a_{n+p} z^{n+p} \right|}{\left| (p-\beta)(2\alpha p + 2\lambda) z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n+2p-2\beta] [\alpha(n+p) + \lambda] a_{n+p} z^{n+p} \right|} \\
 & \leq \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n\alpha(n+p) + n\lambda] |a_{n+p}| |z|^{n+p}}{(p-\beta)(2\alpha p + 2\lambda) |z|^p - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n+2p-2\beta] [\alpha(n+p) + \lambda] |a_{n+p}| |z|^{n+p}}
 \end{aligned}$$

This will be bounded by 1 if



$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n\alpha(n+p) + n\lambda] |a_{n+p}| \leq (p-\beta)(2\alpha p + 2\lambda) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n+2p-2\beta] [\alpha(n+p) + \lambda] |a_{n+p}|$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\alpha(n+p) + \lambda] [n+p-\beta] |a_{n+p}| - (p-\beta)(\alpha p + \lambda) \leq 0$$

Hence $f(z) \in RS_p(\alpha, \lambda, \beta)$. This completes the proof of the theorem (2.1)

Theorem 2.2: If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is in the class $RS_p(\alpha, \lambda, \beta)$ then

$$|a_{p+1}| \leq \frac{2(p-\beta)}{[\alpha(p+1) + \lambda]} [\alpha p + \lambda] \quad (2.3)$$

$$|a_{p+n}| \leq \frac{2(p-\beta)(\alpha p + \lambda)}{n[\alpha(p+n) + \lambda]} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[1 + \frac{2(p-\beta)}{j} \right] \quad \forall n \geq 2 \quad (2.4)$$

Proof: Since $f(z) \in RS_p(\alpha, \lambda, \beta)$ from the definition (1.1) we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \lambda} z^2 f''(z)}{\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \lambda}\right) f(z) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \lambda}\right) zf'(z)} \right\} > \beta$$

Define a function $p(z)$ such that

$$p(z) = \frac{zf'(z) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \lambda}\right) z^2 f''(z)}{\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \lambda}\right) f(z) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \lambda}\right) zf'(z)} - \beta$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \dots \quad (2.5)$$

Here $p(z)$ is analytic in U with $p(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}[p(z)] > 0$. Upon simplifying the equation (2.5) we get

$$(p-\beta) \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \right] [\lambda f(z) + \alpha zf'(z)] = (\alpha + \lambda - \beta\alpha) zf'(z) + \alpha z^2 f''(z) - \beta\lambda f(z)$$



..... (2.6)

Replacing $f(z)$, $f'(z)$ and $f''(z)$ with their equivalent expressions in series on both sides, after simplification we get.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [p - \beta] \left[\lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} z^{n+p} + \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^{n+p} \right] + \lambda \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \right] \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} z^{n+p} \right] \\
 & + \alpha \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} (n+p) z^{n+p} \right] + \alpha p \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^{n+p} \right] + \alpha \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \right] \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} (n+p) z^{n+p} \right] \\
 & = (\alpha + \lambda - \beta \alpha) \left[p z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} (n+p) z^{n+p} \right] + \alpha \left[p(p-1) z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} (n+p)(n+p-1) z^{n+p} \right] \\
 & \quad - \beta \lambda \left[z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} z^{n+p} \right] \dots (2.7)
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficient of z^{n+p} on both sides of (2.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{n+p} = & \frac{[p - \beta]}{n[\alpha(n+p) + \lambda]} \left\{ (\alpha p + \lambda) c_n + [\lambda + \alpha(p+1)] c_{n-1} a_{p+1} \right. \\
 & + [\lambda + \alpha(p+2)] c_{n-2} a_{p+2} + [\lambda + \alpha(p+3)] c_{n-3} a_{p+3} + \dots \\
 & \dots + [\lambda + \alpha(n+p-2)] c_2 a_{n+p-2} + [\lambda + \alpha(n+p-1)] c_1 a_{n+p-1} \left. \right\} \\
 & \dots (2.8)
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking modulus on both sides of (2.8) and applying the Carathodory inequality $|c_n| \leq 2 \forall n \geq 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a_{n+p}| \leq & \frac{2(p - \beta)}{n[\alpha(n+p) + \lambda]} \left\{ (\alpha p + \lambda) + [\lambda + \alpha(p+1)] |a_{p+1}| + \right. \\
 & [\lambda + \alpha(p+2)] |a_{p+2}| + \dots + [\lambda + \alpha(n+p-2)] |a_{n+p-2}| + \\
 & \left. [\lambda + \alpha(n+p-1)] |a_{n+p-1}| \right\} \dots (2.9)
 \end{aligned}$$



$$\text{For } n = 1, \quad |a_{p+1}| \leq \frac{2(p-\beta)}{[\alpha(p+1)+\lambda]} [\alpha p + \lambda]$$

This proves the result (2.3), for $n = 2$,

$$|a_{p+2}| \leq \frac{2(p-\beta)}{2[\alpha(p+2)+\lambda]} [(\alpha p + \lambda) [1 + 2(p-\beta)]]$$

The result in (2.4) is true for $n = 2$

Suppose that the result in (2.4) is true for $3 \leq n \leq m$.

For $n = m + 1$ consider

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{p+m+1}| &\leq \frac{2(p-\beta)}{(m+1)[\alpha(p+m+1)+\lambda]} \{ (\alpha p + \lambda) + 2(p-\beta)[\alpha p + \lambda] + \\ &\frac{2(p-\beta)}{2} [(\alpha p + \lambda)(1 + 2(p-\beta))] + \dots \\ &+ \dots \frac{2(p-\beta)}{m} (\alpha p + \lambda) \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \left[1 + \frac{2(p-\beta)}{j} \right] \} \end{aligned}$$

$$|a_{p+m+1}| \leq \frac{2(p-\beta)}{(m+1)[\alpha(p+m+1)+\lambda]} (\alpha p + \lambda) \prod_{j=1}^m \left[1 + \frac{2(p-\beta)}{j} \right]$$

Thus the result in (2.4) is true for $n = m + 1$. Hence by Mathematical induction the result is true for all values of $n \geq 1$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

3. Fekete - Szego Inequality

Theorem 3.1: If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is in the class $R_{s,p}[\alpha, \lambda, \beta]$ then for any complex number μ , we have

$$|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| \leq \frac{[p-\beta][\alpha p + \lambda]}{[\alpha(p+2)+\lambda]} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{|4(p-\beta)(\alpha p + \lambda)[\alpha(p+2)+\lambda]\mu - \delta|}{[\alpha[p+1]+\beta]^2} \right\}$$



Where $\delta = [2(p - \beta) + 1][\alpha(p + 1) + \lambda]^2 \dots (3.1)$

And the result is sharp

Proof: Since $f(z) \in Rs_p [\alpha, \lambda, \beta]$ from equation (2.8) we have

$$a_{p+1} = \frac{(p - \beta)}{[\alpha(p + 1) + \lambda]} [\alpha p + \lambda] c_1 \text{ and}$$

$$a_{p+2} = \frac{[p - \beta]}{2[\alpha(p + 2) + \lambda]} [(\alpha p + \lambda) c_2 + [\alpha(p + 1) + \lambda] c_1 a_{p+1}]$$

$$a_{p+2} = \frac{[p - \beta]}{2[\alpha(p + 2) + \lambda]} [\alpha p + \lambda] [c_2 + (p - \beta) c_1^2] .$$

For any complex number μ , we have

$$a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 = \frac{[p - \beta][\alpha p + \lambda]}{2[\alpha(p + 2) + \lambda]} [c_2 + (p - \beta) c_1^2] - \frac{\mu [p - \beta]^2 [\alpha p + \lambda]^2}{[\alpha(p + 1) + \lambda]^2} c_1^2$$

$$a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 = \frac{[p - \beta][\alpha p + \lambda]}{2[\alpha(p + 2) + \lambda]} [c_2 - v c_1^2] \quad (3.2)$$

Where $v = \frac{2[p - \beta][\alpha p + \lambda][\alpha(p + 2) + \lambda]}{[\alpha(p + 1) + \lambda]^2} \mu - [p - \beta]$

Taking modulus on both sides of (3.2) and applying Lemma (1.1) on R.H.S we get

$$|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| \leq \frac{[p - \beta][\alpha p + \lambda]}{[\alpha(p + 2) + \lambda]} \max \{1, |2v - 1|\}$$

$$|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| \leq \frac{[p - \beta][\alpha p + \lambda]}{[\alpha(p + 2) + \lambda]} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{4[\alpha(p + 2) + \lambda](p - \beta)(\alpha p + \lambda) \mu - \delta}{[\alpha(p + 1) + \lambda]^2} \right| \right\}$$

Where $\delta = [2(p - \beta) + 1][\alpha(p + 1) + \lambda]^2$

'This completes the proof of the theorem. The result is sharp.



$$|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| = \frac{[p - \beta] [\alpha p + \lambda]}{[\alpha (p+2) + \lambda]}, \quad \text{if } p(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}$$

$$|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| = \frac{[p - \beta] [\alpha p + \lambda]}{[\alpha (p+2) + \lambda]} \left| \frac{4 [\alpha (p+2) + \lambda] (p - \beta) (\alpha p + \lambda) \mu - \delta}{[\alpha [p+1] + \lambda]^2} \right|$$

$$\text{if } p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$$

References

1. **Ravichandran V., Polatoglu Y., Bolcol M. and Sen A.:-** "Certain subclasses of starlike and convex functions of complex order. Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and statistics, 34 (2005), 9-15.
2. **Ali R.M., Ravichandran V. and Seenivasagan N.:-** Coefficient bounds for P-valent functions applied mathematics and computation (2006).
3. **Aouf M.K.:-** Certain Classes of P-valent functions with negative coefficients, II Indian J.Pure, Appl. Math. 19 (8), (1988), 761-767.
4. **Goel R.M. and Sohi N.S.:-** "New Criteria for P-valence Indian J. Pure Appl. Maths (10), 1356-1360 Oct. 1980.
5. **Owa S.** on certain classes of p-valent functions with negative coefficient simon stevin 59 (1985 b) 385-402.