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#### Abstract

In this paper we introduce a subclass of analytic functions associated with $k^{\text {th }}$ root transforms. We study the coefficient bounds, distortion properties, extreme points, radius of starlikeness, convexity, close to convexity and integral transformations for the function $f$ in this class. The results of this paper generalize many earlier results in this direction.
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## 1. Introduction

Let A be the class of all functions $f$ analytic in the open unit disc $\Delta=[z \in C:|z|<1]$ normalized by $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=1$. Let $f$ be a function in the class A of the form
$f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} ; \forall z \in \Delta$
Let $S$ be the subclass of A consisting of univalent functions. Let $S^{*}(\beta)$ and $C(\beta)$ be the classes of functions starlike of order $\beta$ and convex of order $\beta(0 \leq \beta \leq 1)$ respectively, defined as follows
$\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\beta$
$\mathfrak{R e}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\beta$
Let $T$ be the subclass of $S$ consisting of function $f$ of the form
$f(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} ; \quad a_{n} \geq 0$
A function $f \in T$ is called as a function with negative coefficients and introduced by Silverman [10]. He investigated the starlike and convex functions of order $\beta$ with negative coefficients. These classes are denoted by $S_{T}^{*}(\beta)$ and $C_{T}(\beta)$ respectively. Goodman [2,3] introduced the concept of uniform starlikeness and uniform convexity for functions in $A$.A function $f$ is said to be uniformly convex if $f$ is convex and has the property that each circular arc $\gamma$ contained in $\Delta$, with center $\xi$ is also in $\Delta$, the arc $f(\gamma)$ is convex. Similarly the function $f$ is uniformly starlike if $f$ is starlike and has the property that for each circular arc $\gamma$ is contained in $\Delta$ with center $\xi$ is also in $\Delta$, the arc $f(\gamma)$ is starlike. The classes of functions consisting of uniformly convex and starlike functions are denoted by UCV and UST respectively.

The following analytic characterization of UCV and UST are obtained by Goodman[2,3]. The class of uniformly convex functions (UCV) consists of functions $f \in A$ satisfying
$\mathfrak{R e}\left\{1+(z-\xi) \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\} \geq 0, \quad \forall z, \xi \in \Delta$
The class of uniformly starlike functions (UST) consists of functions $f \in A$ satisfying
$\mathfrak{R} e\left\{\frac{(z-\xi) f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(\xi)}\right\} \geq 0, \quad \forall z, \xi \in \Delta$
Ronning [7], Ma and Minda [5] have individually given the following one variable characterization for the function $f$ in UCV and UST classes.
A function $f \in A$ is said to be in the class UCV if and only if
$\mathfrak{R e}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right| \quad \forall z \in \Delta$
Let the class of functions $f$ for which there is a uniformly convex function $F$ such that $f(z)=z F^{\prime}(z)$, is denoted by $S_{p}$. It is easy to see that the function $f$ is in $S_{p}$ if and only if
$\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\left|\frac{\mid z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right| \quad \forall z \in \Delta$
Recently many research workers has extended or generalized the classes (UST), UCV and the class $S_{p}$. Recently S.Shams, S.R.Kulkarni and J.M.Jahangiri [9] introduced the classes $S D(k, \beta)$ and $K D(k, \beta)$ to be the classes of functions. The $k^{\text {th }}$ root transformation of an analytic function $f(z) \in A$ is given by $f \in A$ satisfying the conditions
$\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>k\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right|+\beta$
$\mathfrak{R e}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>k\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|+\beta$
respectively for some $k \geq 0$ and $\beta(0 \leq \beta<1)$. It is noted that $f(z) \in K D(k, \beta)$ if and only if $f(z) \in S D(k, \beta)$.they have shown some sufficient conditions for $f$ to be in the classes $S D(k, \beta)$ and $K D(k, \beta)$.

By imposing the condition $0 \leq k \leq \beta$, S.Owa, Y.Polatoglu and E.Yavuz [6] obtained coefficient inequalities, distortion properties for the functions in the classes $S D(k, \beta)$ and $K D(k, \beta)$.

Srivastava, Shanmugam, Ramchandran and Sivasubramanian [11] defined and studied the class $U(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \kappa)$ to be the lass of functions $f \in T$ for which
$\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}\right\}>k\left|\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}-1\right|+\beta$
$(0 \geq \alpha \leq \lambda \leq 1),(0 \leq \beta<1)$ and $k \geq 0$, where
$F(z)=\lambda \alpha z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)+(\lambda-\alpha) z f^{\prime}(z)+(1-\lambda+\alpha) f(z)$

They have obtained the coefficient inequalities, necessary and sufficient conditions, distortion properties, convex linear combinations, radius of starlikeness, convexity and integral operators for the functions in this class.
$T^{k}(f(z))=\left[f\left(z^{k}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{k}}=z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n k+1} z^{n k+1}$
Here for $k=1, T^{k}(f(z))=f(z)$.Also the $k^{\text {th }}$ root transformation of an analytic function $f \in T$ is given by
$T^{k}(f(z))=z-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n k+1} z^{n k+1} ; \quad b_{n k+1} \geq 0 \forall n$
In the present paper we define a subclass of analytic functions associated with the $k^{\text {th }}$ root transformation and study the necessary and sufficient conditions, coefficient bounds, distortion properties, radius of starlikeness, convexity and integral transformations for the function in this class.
Definition 1.1 Let $R(\lambda, \alpha, \kappa, \beta)$ be the class of functions $T^{k} f \in T$ satisfying the condition
$\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{z\left[T^{k}(f(z))\right]^{\prime}+\lambda z^{2}\left[T^{k}(f(z))\right]^{\prime \prime}}{T^{k}(f(z))}\right\}>\alpha\left|\frac{z\left[T^{k}(f(z))\right]^{\prime}+\lambda z^{2}\left[T^{k}(f(z))\right]^{\prime \prime}}{T^{k}(f(z))}-1\right|+\beta$
For some $\lambda \geq 0, \alpha \geq 0, k \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \beta<1$.
Remarks: Here $R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)=R(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta) \cap T$. It can be seen that

1. $\quad R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, 1, \beta)=R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, defined and studied by K.Saroja [8].
2. $\quad R_{T}(0, \alpha, k, 0)$ gives a class $\operatorname{USF}(\alpha)$ associated with the $k^{\text {th }}$ root transformationof $f$.
3. $\quad R_{T}(0, \alpha, 1,0)=\operatorname{USF}(\alpha)$ defined and studied by S.Kanas and A.Wisniowska [12].
4. $\quad R_{T}(0,0, k, \beta)$ gives a class $S_{T}^{*}(\beta)$ functions associated with the $k^{\text {th }}$ root transformation of $f(z)$.
5. $\quad R_{T}(0,0,1, \beta)=S_{T}^{*}(\beta)$ defined and studied by H.Silverman [10].

## 2. A Characterization theorem and resulting coefficient estimates

We first find a sufficient condition for the functions $T^{k} f(z) \in A$ to be in the class $R(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$.
We give characterization of the class $R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$ by finding a necessary and sufficient condition for the function $f$ to be in $R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$. This characterization also yields coefficient estimates for the function in this class.
Theorem 2.1 If $T^{k} f(z) \in A$ and satisfies the condition
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[(n k+1)(1+\lambda n k)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)]\left|b_{n k+1}\right| \leq 1-\beta$
for some $\lambda \geq 0, \alpha \geq 0, k \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \beta<1$ then $T^{k} f(z) \in R(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$.
Proof: Let $T^{k} f(z) \in A$ and satisfies the condition (10). To prove that $T^{k} f(z)$ is in the class $R(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$. Applying the principle
$\mathfrak{R e}(w)>\alpha|w-1|+\beta$
$\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{R} e\left(w\left(1+\alpha e^{i \theta}\right)-\alpha e^{i \theta}\right)>\beta(-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi, 0 \leq \beta<1, \alpha \geq 0)$
For the function $w(z)=\frac{z\left[T^{k}(f(z))\right]^{\prime}+\lambda z^{2}\left[T^{k}(f(z))\right]^{\prime \prime}}{T^{k}(f(z))}$ on R.H.S we get
$\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{\left\{z\left[T^{k}(f(z))\right]+\lambda z^{2}\left[T^{k}(f(z))\right] "\right\}\left\{1+\alpha e^{i \theta}\right\}-\alpha e^{i \theta} T^{k}(f(z))}{T^{k}(f(z))}\right\}>\beta$
By setting $G(z)=\left\{z\left[T^{k} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+\lambda z^{2}\left[T^{k} f(z)\right]^{\prime}\right\}\left\{1+\alpha e^{i \theta}\right\}-\alpha e^{i \theta} T^{k} f(z)$ the above inequality (12) becomes $\left|G(z)+(1-\beta) T^{k}(f(z))\right|>\left|G(z)-(1+\beta) T^{k}(f(z))\right|$
Replacing $T^{k}(f(z)), z\left[T^{k}(f(z))\right] '$ and $z^{2}\left[T^{k}(f(z))\right] '$ ' with their equivalent series expansions in (13), we get $\mid G(z)+(1-\beta) T^{k}(f(z) \mid$
$=\left|(2-\beta) z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{[(n k+1)(1+\lambda n k)+(1-\beta)]+\alpha e^{i \theta}[(n k+1)(1+\lambda n k)-1]\right\} b_{n k+1} z^{n k+1}\right|$
$\geq(2-\beta)|z|-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[(n k+1)(1+\lambda n k)+(1-\beta)]\left|b_{n k+1}\right|\left|z^{n k+1}\right|-\alpha \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[(n k+1)(1+\lambda n k)-1]\left|b_{n k+1}\right|\left|z^{n k+1}\right|$
Similarly we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|G(z)-(1+\beta) T^{k}(f(z))\right|=\left|\beta z-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{[(n k+1)(1+\lambda n k)-(1+\beta)]+\alpha e^{i \theta}[(n k+1)(1+\lambda n k)-1]\right\} b_{n k+1} z^{n k+1}\right| \\
& \leq \beta|z|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[(n k+1)(1+\lambda n k)-(1+\beta)] b_{n k+1}| | z^{n k+1}\left|+\alpha \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[(n k+1)(1+\lambda n k)-1]\right| b_{n k+1}| | z^{n k+1} \mid \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore from the inequalities (12) \& (13), we have
$\left|G(z)+(1-\beta) T^{k}(f(z))\right|-\mid G(z)-(1+\beta) T^{k}(f(z) \mid$
$\geq 2(1-\beta)-2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[(n k+1)(1+\lambda n k)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)]\left|b_{n k+1}\right|$
$\geq 0$ (Using the result in (10))
$\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{z\left[T^{k}(f(z))\right]^{\prime}+\lambda z^{2}\left[T^{k}(f(z))\right]^{\prime \prime}}{\left[T^{k}(f(z))\right]}\right\}>k\left|\frac{z\left[T^{k}(f(z))\right]^{\prime}+\lambda z^{2}\left[T^{k}(f(z))\right]^{\prime \prime}}{\left[T^{k}(f(z))\right]}-1\right|+\beta$
Hence $\left[T^{k}(f(z))\right] \in R(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$
Theorem 2.2 A necessary and sufficient condition for a function $T^{k}(f(z)) \in T$ to be in the class $R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$ is that
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[(n k+1)(1+\lambda n k)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta) b_{n k+1} \leq(1-\beta)\right.$
for some $\lambda \geq 0, \alpha \geq 0, k \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \beta<1$.
Proof: In view of Theorem (2.1) it is sufficient to show that $T^{k}(f(z))$ satisfies the condition (8).
Suppose that $T^{k}(f(z))=z-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n k+1} z^{n k+1}$ is in $R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$.
By setting $0 \leq|z|=r<1$ and choosing the values of $z$ on the real axis then from the inequality (10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{r-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{n k(1+\lambda(n k+1))+\alpha e^{i \theta}[n k(1+\lambda(n k+1))]-1\right\} b_{n k+1} r^{n k+1}}{r-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n k+1} r^{n k+1}}\right\}>\beta \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathfrak{R e}\left(-e^{i \theta}\right) \geq-\left|e^{i \theta}\right|=-1$
The above inequality (16) reduces to
$\mathfrak{R} e\left\{\frac{(1-\beta) r-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\} b_{n k+1} r^{n k+1}}{r-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n k+1} r^{n k+1}}\right\} \geq 0$
Upon clearing the denominator and letting $r \rightarrow 1$ in (15) we get
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\} b_{n k+1} \leq(1-\beta)$
which is the result in (10). Hence the Theorem.
Corollary 2.3 If $T^{k} f(z) \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$ then

$$
b_{n k+1} \leq \frac{(1-\beta)}{\{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}} \forall n \geq 1
$$

This result is sharp for each $n$ for functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{k} f_{n}(z)=z-\frac{(1-\beta)}{\{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}} z^{n k+1} \forall n \geq 1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Distortion and Covering theorems for the function $f \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$

Theorem 3.1.If the function $T^{k} f(z) \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& r-\frac{(1-\beta)}{\{(k+1)(\lambda k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}} r^{k+1} \leq\left|T^{k} f(z)\right| \\
& \quad \leq r+\frac{(1-\beta)}{\{(k+1)(\lambda k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}} r^{k+1} ; \forall 0<|z|=r<1 \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

The equality in (19) is attained for the function $T^{k}(f(z))$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{k}(f(z))=z-\frac{(1-\beta)}{\{(k+1)(\lambda k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}} z^{k+1} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Since $T^{k} f(z) \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$, from the inequality (10), we have
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\} b_{n k+1} \leq(1-\beta)$
It can be easily seen that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{(k+1)(\lambda k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n k+1} & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\} b_{n k+1} \\
& \leq(1-\beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Rightarrow \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n k+1} \leq \frac{(1-\beta)}{\{(k+1)(\lambda k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|T^{k}(f(z))\right|=\left|z-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n k+1} z^{n k+1}\right| & \leq|z|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n k+1}|z|^{n k+1} \\
& \leq r+\frac{(1-\beta)}{\{(k+1)(\lambda k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}} r^{k+1} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

This gives the right hand side of (20). Similarly

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{aligned}
&\left|T^{k}(f(z))\right|=\left|z-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n k+1} z^{n k+1}\right| \geq|z|-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n k+1}|z|^{n k+1} \\
& \geq r-r^{k+1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n k+1} \\
& \geq r-\frac{(1-\beta)}{\{(k+1)(\lambda k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}} r^{k+1}
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

This is the left hand side of (19). It can be easily seen that the function $T^{k} f(z)$ defined by (20) is the extremal function for the result in (19).
Theorem 3.2If $T^{k}(f(z)) \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
1-\frac{(k+1)(1-\beta)}{\{(k+1)(\lambda k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}} r^{k} & \leq\left[T^{k}(f(z))\right] \\
& \leq 1+\frac{(k+1)(1-\beta)}{\{(k+1)(\lambda k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}} r^{k} ; \forall 0<|z|=r<1 \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

The equality in (24) holds true for the function $T^{k}(f(z))$ given by (20).
Proof:Since $T^{k}(f(z)) \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$, we have
$\left|\left[T^{k}(f(z))\right]^{\mid}\right| \leq 1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n k+1) b_{n k+1}|z|^{n k}$
$\leq 1+r^{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n k+1) b_{n k+1}$
And
$\left|\left[T^{k}(f(z))\right]^{\prime}\right| \geq 1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n k+1) b_{n k+1}|z|^{n k}$
$\geq 1-r^{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n k+1) b_{n k+1}$
The result in (24) holds true from (25) \& (26) and using the simple consequence of(23) given by
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n k+1) b_{n k+1} \leq \frac{(1+k)(1-\beta)}{\{(k+1)(\lambda k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}}$
The result is sharp for the function $f$ given in (22).

## 4. Closure Theorem for the class $R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$

In this section we prove that the class $R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$ is closed under convex linear combinations.
Theorem 4.1 If $T^{k}\left(f_{0}(z)\right)=z$ and $T^{k} f_{n}(z)=z-\frac{(1-\beta)}{\{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}} z^{n k+1} ; \forall n \geq 1$
then $T^{k}\{f(z)\} \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$ if and only if $T^{k}\{f(z)\}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n} T^{k}\left\{f_{n}(z)\right\}$ where $\mu_{n} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n}=1$.
Proof: Suppose $T^{k}\{f(z)\}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n} T^{k}\left\{f_{n}(z)\right\}$ with $\mu_{n} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n}=1$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n} T^{k}\left\{f_{n}(z)\right\} & =\mu_{0} T^{k}\left\{f_{0}(z)\right\}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n} T^{k}\left\{f_{n}(z)\right\} \\
& =\left(1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n}\right) T^{k}\left\{f_{0}(z)\right\}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n}\left\{z-\frac{(1-\beta)}{\{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}} z^{n k+1}\right\} \\
& =\left(1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n}\right) z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n}\left\{z-\frac{(1-\beta)}{\{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}} z^{n k+1}\right\} \\
& =z-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n} \frac{(1-\beta)}{\{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}} z^{n k+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n} \frac{(1-\beta)}{\{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}} \times \frac{\{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}}{(1-\beta)}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n}=1-\mu_{0} \leq 1
$$

Thus the coefficients of $T^{k}\{f(z)\}$ satisfy the inequality (10). Hence from the Theorem (2.2) it follows that $T^{k}\{f(z)\} \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$.
Conversely suppose that $T^{k}\{f(z)\} \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$. Since
$b_{n k+1} \leq \frac{(1-\beta)}{\{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}} ; \forall n \geq 1$
By setting $\mu_{n}=\frac{\{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}}{(1-\beta)} b_{n k+1} ; \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots \ldots$.
and $\mu_{0}=1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n}$ then $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n} f_{n}(z)$. This completes the proof of the theorem.
Theorem 4.2. The class $R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$ is closed under convex linear combinations.
Proof: Suppose that each of the function

$$
T^{k}\left\{f_{l}(z)\right\}=z-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n k+1} z^{n k+1}(l=1,2)
$$

is in the class $R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$. We need to prove that the function $H(z)$ given by

$$
H(z)=\lambda_{1} T^{k}\left\{f_{1}(z)\right\}+\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) T^{k}\left\{f_{2}(z)\right\} ; \quad(0 \leq \lambda \leq 1)
$$

also lies in the class $R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$. Since

$$
H(z)=z-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\lambda_{1} b_{n k+1,1}+\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) b_{n k+1,2}\right\}^{n k+1}
$$

Consider
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}\left\{\lambda_{1} b_{n k+1,1}+\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) b_{n k+1,2}\right\}$
$=\lambda_{1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\} b_{n k+1,1}+\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\} b_{n k+1,2}$
$\leq \lambda_{1}(1-\beta)+\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) \beta$
$\leq(1-\beta)$
Thus from the Theorem (2.2) $H(z)=R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$.Hence the class $R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$ is closed under convex linear combinations.
5. Radii of starlikeness, convexity and close to convexity for the functions $f$ in the class $R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$.
In this section we determine radius of starlikeness, convexity and close to convexity for the function $T^{k}\{f(z)\} \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$.
Theorem 5.1. If ${ }_{\mathrm{c}}$ then $T^{k}\{f(z)\}$ is starlike of order $\rho(0 \leq \rho<1)$ in $|z|<r_{1}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta, \rho)$ where
$r_{1}(\lambda, \alpha, \kappa, \beta, \rho)=\left\{\frac{(1-\rho)(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)}{(1-\beta(n k+1-\rho))}\right\}^{\frac{1}{n k}} ; \quad \forall n \geq 1$
And the result is sharp.
Proof:Suppose $T^{k}\{f(z)\} \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$. It is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z\left[T^{k}\{f(z)\}\right]^{\prime}}{T^{k}\{f(z)\}}-1\right| \leq|1-\rho| \text { for } 0 \leq \rho<1,|z|<r_{1}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta, \rho) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $T^{k}\{f(z)\}$ and $z\left[T^{k}\{f(z)\}\right]^{\prime}$ in the L.H.S of (25) with their equivalent expressions in series, we get
$\left|\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n k) b_{n k+1} z^{n k}}{1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n k+1} z^{n k}}\right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n k) b_{n k+1}|z|^{n k}}{1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n k+1}|z|^{n k}}$

This will be bounded by $(1-\rho)$ if

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n k) b_{n k+1}|z|^{n k} \leq(1-\rho)\left[1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n k+1}|z|^{n k}\right] \\
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{(n k+1-\rho)}{(1-\rho)}\right\} b_{n k+1}|z|^{n k} \leq 1 \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Since for $T^{k}\{f(z)\} \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$, from Theorem (2.2), we have

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)}{(1-\beta)}\right\} b_{n k+1} \leq 1
$$

The condition (28) will be satisfied if

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{(n k+1-\rho)}{(1-\rho)}|z|^{n k} & \leq\left\{\frac{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)}{(1-\beta)}\right\} \\
|z| & \leq\left\{\frac{(1-\rho)(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)}{(1-\beta)(n k+1)(n k+1-\rho)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{n k}} ; \forall n=1,2,3, \ldots \ldots \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $|z|=r_{1}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta, \rho)$, the result of the theorem follows. And the result is sharp for each $n$ for the functions $T^{k}\left\{f_{n}(z)\right\}$ given in (16).
Theorem 5.2. If $T^{k}\{f(z)\} \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$ then $T^{k} f(z)$ is close to convex of order $\rho(0 \leq \rho<1)$ in $|z|<r_{2}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta, \rho)$ where
$r_{2}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta, \rho)=\inf \left\{\frac{(1-\rho)(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)}{(1-\beta)(n k+1)(n k+1-\rho)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{n k}} ; \forall n \geq 1$
And the result is sharp.
Proof:Suppose $T^{k}\{f(z)\} \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$.It is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\left.z\left[T^{k} f(z)\right]\right]^{\prime \prime}}{\left[T^{k} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}\right| \leq(1-\rho) \text { for }\left[0 \leq \rho<1,|z|<r_{2}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta, \rho)\right] \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $\left[T^{k} f(z)\right]^{\prime}$ in the L.H.S of (30) with their equivalent expressions in series then we get

$$
\left|\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n k)(n k+1) b_{n k+1} z^{n k}}{1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n k+1) b_{n k+1} z^{n k}}\right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n k)(n k+1) b_{n k+1}|z|^{n k}}{1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n k+1) b_{n k+1}|z|^{n k}}
$$

This will be bounded by $(1-\rho)$ if
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n k)(n k+1) b_{n k+1}|z|^{n k} \leq(1-\rho)\left[1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n k+1) b_{n k+1}|z|^{n k}\right]$ Or
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{(n k)(n k+1-\rho)}{(1-\rho)}\right\} b_{n k+1}|z|^{n k} \leq 1$
Since for $T^{k}\{f(z)\} \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$, from Theorem (2.2), we have

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{\{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}}{(1-\beta)}\right\} b_{n k+1} \leq 1
$$

The condition will be satisfied if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(n k)(n k+1-\rho)}{(1-\rho)}|z|^{n k} \leq\left\{\frac{\{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\}}{(1-\beta)}\right\} \forall n \geq 1 \\
& |z| \leq\left\{\frac{(1-\rho)(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)}{(1-\beta)(n k+1)(n k+1-\rho)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{n k}} \quad \forall n=1,2,3, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $|z|=r_{2}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta, \rho)$, the result of the Theorem follows. And the result is sharp for each $n$ for the functions $T^{k}\left\{f_{n}(z)\right\}$ given in(18).
Theorem 5.3. If $T^{k}\{f(z)\} \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$ then $T^{k} f(z)$ is close to convex of order $\rho(0 \leq \rho<1)$ in $|z|<r_{3}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta, \rho)$ where
$r_{3}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta, \rho)=\inf \left\{\frac{(1-\rho)(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)}{(1-\beta)(n k+1)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{n k}} ; \forall n \geq 1$
And the result is sharp.
Proof: Suppose $T^{k}\{f(z)\} \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$.It is sufficient to show that
$\left|\left[T^{k} f(z)\right]^{\prime}-1\right| \leq(1-\rho)$ for $\left[0 \leq \rho<1,|z|<r_{3}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta, \rho)\right]$
Replacing $\left[T^{k} f(z)\right]$ ' in the L.H.S of (30) with their equivalent expressions in series then we get
$\left|1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n k+1) b_{n k+1} z^{n k}-1\right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n k+1) b_{n k+1} \mid z z^{n k}$
This will be bounded by $(1-\rho)$ if
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n k+1) b_{n k+1}|z|^{n k} \leq(1-\rho)$
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n k+1)}{(1-\rho)} b_{n k+1}|z|^{n k} \leq 1$
Since for $T^{k} f(z) \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$, from Theorem (2.2), we have
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)}{(1-\beta)}\right\} a_{n} \leq 1$
The condition (33) will be satisfied if
$\frac{(n k+1)}{(1-\rho)}|z|^{n k} \leq\left\{\frac{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)}{(1-\beta)}\right\} \quad \forall n \geq 1$
$\Rightarrow|z| \leq\left\{\frac{(1-\rho)(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)}{(1-\beta)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{n k}} ; \quad \forall n \geq 1$
Setting $|z|=r_{3}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta, \rho)$, the result of the Theorem follows. And the result is sharp for each $n$ for the functions $T^{k}\left[f_{n}(z)\right]$ in (16).

## 6. Integral Operators

In this section we consider the integral operators for func tion $T^{k} f(z) \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$.
Theorem 6.1:If $T^{k} f(z) \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$ then the function $T^{k}[f(z)]$ defined by
$T^{k}[f(z)]=\frac{1+c}{z^{c}} \int t^{c-1} T^{k} f(t) d t(c>-1)$
Is also in $R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$.
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Proof: Suppose $T^{k} f(z) \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$, we have
$T^{k}[F(z)]=z-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n k+1}\left\{\frac{1+c}{n k+1+c}\right\} z^{n k+1}, \quad 0<\left\{\frac{1+c}{n k+1+c}\right\}<1$
Consider
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\} b_{n k+1}\left\{\frac{1+c}{n k+1+c}\right\}$
$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\{(n k+1)(\lambda n k+1)(1+\alpha)-(\alpha+\beta)\} b_{n k+1}$
$\leq(1-\beta) \quad$ (using the inequality (10))
$\Rightarrow \quad T^{k}[F(z)] \in R_{T}(\lambda, \alpha, k, \beta)$
Hence the Theorem.
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