Affiliated to Palamuru University

Opp. Velama Function Hall, Nagarkurnool District.-509209, Telangana State

STUDENT FEED BACK ANALYSIS -2016-17

Feedbackhas been collected manually from the students on teacher performance in the month of March 2017 to make teaching learning process more effective and meet the needs of the students in all aspects. The feedback forms on teacher performance with reference aspects listed in the form that enclosed here. Opinion has been takes on Likert's five point rating scale: 1—poor (2 points), 2—Average (4 points), 3—Good (6-points), 4—Very Good (8 Points), 5—Excellent (10 Points). Table 1: Teachers wise overall student feedback analysis for the academic year 2016-17.

S.NO	NAME OF THE	DEPARTMENT	NO. OF	TOTAL	OBTAINED	OVERALL
	TEACHER		FEEDBACK	RESPONSES	STATUS(%)	STATUS
			FORMS			
1	M.Madusudhan	Commerce	15	300	88	EXCELLENT
	Sharma					
2	H.Mallesha	Chemistry	15	300	89	EXCELLENT
3	Dr.Gopal	History	15	300	90	EXCELLENT
4	L.Kodandaramulu	Zoology	15	300	88	EXCELLENT
5	K.Ramakrishna Rao	English	15	300	<i>75</i>	VERYGOOD
6	Y.Krishnaiah	Economics	15	300	91	EXCELLENT
7	Hari	Maths	15	300	92	EXCELLENT
8	Himaneela	Political Science	15	300	88	EXCELLENT

Percentage = Weighted Score total / Total no of SFBF

Grade points: Excellent - 80 to 100; Very Good -60 to 79; Good-40 to 59; Average-20 to 39; Poor-Below 20%

SOVT. DEGREE COLLEGE (Sciences) NAGARKURNOOL Dist. Nagarkurnool-509 209, (T.S.)

Affiliated to Palamuru University

Opp. Velama Function Hall, Nagarkurnool District.-509209, Telangana State

STUDENT FEED BACK ANALYSIS -2017-18

Feedbackhas been collected manually from the students on teacher performance in the month of March 2017 to make teaching learning process more effective and meet the needs of the students in all aspects. The feedback forms on teacher performance with reference aspects listed in the form that enclosed here. Opinion has been takes on Likert's five point rating scale: 1—poor (2 points), 2—Average (4 points), 3—Good (6-points), 4—Very Good (8 Points), 5—Excellent (10 Points). Table 1: Teachers wise overall student feedback analysis for the academic year 2017-18.

S.NO	NAME OF THE	DEPARTME	NO. OF	TOTAL	OBTAIN	OVERALL
	TEACHER	NT	FEEDBACK	RESPONSES	ED	STATUS
			FORMS		STATUS(
					%)	
1	M.Madusudhan	Commerce	15	300	80	EXCELLENT
	Sharma					
2	H.Mallesha	Chemistry	15	300	81	EXCELLENT
3	Dr.Gopal	History	15	300	90	EXCELLENT
4	L.Kodandaramulu	Zoology	15	300	95.5	EXCELLENT
5	K.Ramakrishna Rao	English	15	300	81	EXCELLENT
6	Y.Krishnaiah	Economics	15	300	79	VERY GOOD
7	Hari	Maths	15	300	<i>75</i>	VERY GOOD
8	Himaneela	Political	15	300	80	EXCELLENT
		Science				
9	K.Manjula	Physics	15	300	82	EXCELLENT
10	T.Chiranjeevi	Chemistry	15	300	85	EXCELLENT
11	S.Thriveni	Botany	15	300	90	EXCELLENT

Percentage = Weighted Sc/ Total no of SFBF

Grade points: Excellent - 80 to 100; Very Good -60 to 79; Good-40 to 59; Average-20 to 39; Poor-Below 20%

Sciences) NAGARKURNOOL
Dist. Nagarkurnool-509 209, (T.S.)

Affiliated to Palamuru University

Opp. Velama Function Hall, Nagarkurnool District.-509209, Telangana State

STUDENT FEED BACK ANALYSIS -2018-19

 $Feedback has been collected {\it manually} from the students on teacher performance in the {\it month of March 2017} to$ $make teaching learning process more effective and meet the needs of the students in \it\, all\, aspects. The feedback$ $forms \, on \, teacher \, performance \, with \, reference \, as pects \, listed \, in \, the \, form \, that \, enclosed \, here. \, Opinion \, has been takes \, forms \, on \, teacher \, performance \, with \, reference \, as pects \, listed \, in \, the \, form \, that \, enclosed \, here. \, Opinion \, has been takes \, forms \, on \, teacher \, performance \, with \, reference \, as pects \, listed \, in \, the \, form \, that \, enclosed \, here. \, Opinion \, has been takes \, forms \, on \, teacher \, performance \, with \, reference \, as pects \, listed \, in \, the \, form \, that \, enclosed \, here. \, Opinion \, has been takes \, forms \, on \, teacher \, performance \, with \, reference \, as pects \, listed \, in \, the \, form \, that \, enclosed \, here. \, Opinion \, has been takes \, forms \, on \, teacher \, performance \, with \, reference \, as pects \, listed \, in \, the \, forms \, that \, forms \, on \, teacher \, performance \, with \, reference \, as pects \, listed \, in \, the \, forms \, that \, forms \, on \, teacher \, performance \, forms \, the \, form$ onLikert'sfivepointratingscale:1-poor(2points),2- Average(4points),3-Good(6-points),4-VeryGood(8 $Points), 5-Excellent (10 Points). \ Table 1: \ Teachers \ wise \ over all \ student feedback \ analysis for the \ academic$ vear 2018-19.

S.NO	NAME OF THE TEACHER	DEPARTME NT	NO. OF FEEDBACK FORMS	TOTAL RESPONSES	OBTAIN ED STATUS(%)	OVERALL STATUS
1	M.Madusudhan Sharma	Commerce	15	300	90	EXCELLENT
2	Ameena Munthaz Jahan	Botany	15	300	89	EXCELLENT
3	P.Mallikarjun	Telugu	15	300	90	EXCELLENT
4	V.Srinivasulu	Library	15	300	95.5	EXCELLENT
5	L.Kodandaramulu	Zoology	15	300	86	EXCELLENT
6	K.Ramakrishna Rao	English	15	300	79	VERY GOOD
7	Y.Krishnaiah	Economics	15	300	88	EXCELLENT
8	Himaneela	Political Science	15	300	95	EXCELLENT
9	S.Thriveni	Botany	15	300	82	EXCELLENT
10	B.Vanitha	Physics	15	300	91.2	EXCELLENT
11	M.Anjaiah	Maths	15	300	72	VERY GOOD
12	MD.Mujaffar	Computer Science	15	300	88	EXCELLENT
13	Naresh Reddy	Commerce	15	300	72	VERY GOOD
14	Yadagiri	Commerce	15	300	72	VERY GOOD
15	Lingamaiah	History	15	300	88	EXCELLENT
16	Venkat swamy	Public Add	15	300	88	EXCELLENT

Percentage = Weighted Score total / Total no of SFBF

Grade points: Excellent - 80 to 100; Very Good -60 to 79; Good-40 to 59; Average-20 to 39; Poor-

Below 20%

DEGREE COLLEGE (Sciences) NAGARKURNOOL Dist. Nagarkurnool-509 209, (T.S.)

Affiliated to Palamuru University

Opp. Velama Function Hall, Nagarkurnool District.-509209, Telangana State

STUDENT FEED BACK ANALYSIS -2019-20

Feedbackhas been collected manually from the students on teacher performance in the month of March 2017 to make teaching learning process more effective and meet the needs of the students in all aspects. The feedback forms on teacher performance with reference aspects listed in the form that enclosed here. Opinion has been takes on Likert's five point rating scale: 1—poor (2 points), 2—Average (4 points), 3—Good (6-points), 4—Very Good (8 Points), 5—Excellent (10 Points). Table 1: Teachers wise overall student feedback analysis for the academic year 2019-20.

S.NO	NAME OF THE	DEPARTME	NO. OF	TOTAL	OBTAIN	OVERALL
	TEACHER	NT	FEEDBACK	RESPONSES	ED	STATUS
			FORMS		STATUS(
					%)	
1	P.Mallikarjun	Telugu	15	300	90	EXCELLENT
2	Qamar shajahan sulthana	Botany	15	300	89	EXCELLENT
3	V.Srinivasulu	Library	15	300	90	EXCELLENT
4	L.Kodandaramulu	Zoology	15	300	95.5	EXCELLENT
5	K.Ramakrishna Rao	English	15	300	86	EXCELLENT
6	Y.Krishnaiah	Economics	15	300	79	VERY GOOD
7	Himaneela	Political Science	15	300	88	EXCELLENT
8	B.Vanitha	Physics	15	300	95	EXCELLENT
9	M.Anjaiah	Maths	15	300	82	EXCELLENT
10	B.Umadevi	Chemistry	15	300	91.2	EXCELLENT
11	MD.Mujaffar	Computer Science	15	300	83	EXCELLENT
12	Naresh Reddy	Commerce	15	300	72	VERY GOOD
13	Yadagiri	Commerce	15	300	72	VERY GOOD
14	Lingamaiah	History	15	300	88	EXCELLENT
15	Venkat swamy	Public Add	15	300	88	EXCELLENT
16	E.Dasharatham	Zoology	15	300	88	EXCELLENT
17	K.Buran	Physics	15	300	83	EXCELLENT

Percentage = Weighted Score total / Total no of SFBF

Grade points: Excellent - 80 to100; Very Good -60 to 79; Good-40 to 59; Average-20 to 39; Poor-Below 20%

> COVT. DEGREE COLLEGE (Sciences) NAGARKURNOOL

Dist. Nagarkurnool-509 209, (T.S.)

Affiliated to Palamuru University
Opp. Velama Function Hall, Nagarkurnool District.-509209, Telangana State

STUDENT FEED BACK ANALYSIS -2020-21

Feedbackhas been collected manually from the students on teacher performance in the month of March 2017 to make teaching learning process more effective and meet the needs of the students in all aspects. The feedback forms on teacher performance with reference aspects listed in the form that enclosed here. Opinion has been takes on Likert's five point rating scale: 1—poor (2 points), 2—Average (4 points), 3—Good (6-points), 4—Very Good (8 Points), 5—Excellent (10 Points). Table 1: Teachers wise overall student feedback analysis for the academic year 2020-21.

S.NO	NAME OF THE	DEPARTME	NO. OF	TOTAL	OBTAIN	OVERALL
	TEACHER	NT	FEEDBACK	RESPONSES	ED	STATUS
			FORMS		STATUS(
					%)	
1	P.Mallikarjun	Telugu	15	300	90	EXCELLENT
2	Qamar shajahan	Botany	15	300	89	EXCELLENT
	sulthana					
3	V.Srinivasulu	Library	15	300	90	EXCELLENT
4	L.Kodandaramulu	Zoology	15	300	95.5	EXCELLENT
5	K.Gangadhar	Botany	15	300	90	EXCELLENT
6	K.Ramakrishna Rao	English	15	300	86	EXCELLENT
7	B.Vanitha	Physics	15	300	95	EXCELLENT
8	M.Anjaiah	Maths	15	300	82	EXCELLENT
9	B.Umadevi	Chemistry	15	300	91.2	EXCELLENT
10	B.Raju	Chemistry	15	300	91	EXCELLENT
11	MD.Mujaffar	Computer	15	300	83	EXCELLENT
		Science				
12	E.Dasharatham	Dairy Science	15	300	88	EXCELLENT

Percentage = Weighted Score total / Total no of SFBF

Grade points: Excellent - 80 to 100; Very Good -60 to 79; Good-40 to 59; Average-20 to 39; Poor-Below 20%

FINCIPAL
COVT. DEGREE COLLEGE
(Sciences) NAGARKURNOOL
Dist. Nagarkurnool-509 209, (T.S.)