NAGARJUNA GOVERNMENT COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)



NALGONDA - 508001

(Affiliated to Mahatma Gandhi University)

"Students" Feedback 2017-18

1. STUDENT FEEDBACK ON SYLLABUS

- 1. How is the current syllabus? (Satisfactory / Unsatisfactory) (Please $\sqrt[6]{1}$ tick)
- Is the syllabus sufficiently advanced?
 (Advanced / Moderately Advance / Old) (Please '√' tick)
- 3. What should be the frequency of revision of syllabus?

 1 year / 2 year / 3 year (Please '√' tick)
- 4. How is the depth of knowledge that would get from syllabus?
 (High / Medium / Low) (Please '√' tick)
- 5. Any suggestion for improvements in the syllabus.

· Provide more. Computer:

2. STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHING:

C N -	Portionless / Areas of	Attributes (Please put '\sqrt{\text{Mark}}			rk)	
S.No.	Particulars/ Areas of Evaluation	Very Good	Good	Satisfact ory	Average	Poor
1	Topic explanation skill of the teacher			/		
2	Communication skill of the teacher	V				
3	Interest generated by the teacher	V				
4	Ability to correlate the subject with other issues	V		,		
5	Motivation to students for further studies	V				

6	Encouraging students to participate in the class	<u> </u>		
7	How far the teacher encourages raising questions in the class?	<u> </u>		
8	How much helpful the teacher in advising in and out of the class?			

3. Student Feedback on Infrastructure & overall Analysis of Institution:

	Attributes (Please		put '\', Mark)		
Particulars/ Areas of Evaluation	Very Good	Good	Satisfact ory	Average	Poor
Lecture Halls					
Laboratories			/		
Library		V			
Sports Facility			V		
Teachers		V			
Principal		V			
Non-teaching Staff	,	-27 el 8	V	A Care	R. St.
	Lecture Halls Laboratories Library Sports Facility Teachers Principal	Lecture Halls Laboratories Library Sports Facility Teachers Principal	Particulars/ Areas of Evaluation Very Good Good Lecture Halls Laboratories Library Sports Facility Teachers Principal	Particulars/ Areas of Evaluation Lecture Halls Laboratories Library Sports Facility Teachers Principal Attributes (Please Of Good Satisfact ory) Letty Good Very Good Very Good Very Cool ory Satisfact ory Satisfact ory Cool of C	Particulars/ Areas of Evaluation Very Good Good Satisfact ory Average

Any Suggestions

Name	of	the	stud	lent
------	----	-----	------	------

Class

Date:

NAGARJUNA GOVERNMENT COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)



NALGONDA - 508001

(Affiliated to Mahatma Gandhi University)

"Students" Feedback 2018-19

1. STUDENT FEEDBACK ON SYLLABUS

	<u> </u>	1-
1	How is the current syllabus? (Satisfactory / Unsatisfactory) (Please '	(tick)
- ·	Tiow is the eartern symbols. (Substitution of Chisalistation) (2	2

- Is the syllabus sufficiently advanced?
 (Advanced / Moderately Advance / Old) (Please '√' tick)
- 3. What should be the frequency of revision of syllabus? $1 \text{ year } / 2 \text{ year } / 3 \text{ year (Please '}\sqrt{\text{'tick}})$
- 4. How is the depth of knowledge that would get from syllabus? (High / Medium / Low) (Please $\sqrt[4]{}$ tick)
- 5. Any suggestion for improvements in the syllabus.

more cleantines of the class room

2. STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHING:



C.N.	Buddenland Anna of	Attributes (Please put '√'Mark)				rk)
S.No.	Particulars/ Areas of Evaluation	Very Good	Good	Satisfact ory	Average	Poor
1	Topic explanation skill of the teacher		V			
2	Communication skill of the teacher	V				
3	Interest generated by the teacher	<i>\</i>				
4	Ability to correlate the subject with other issues	/				
5	Motivation to students for further studies	✓				

6	Encouraging students to participate in the class	~			
7	How far the teacher encourages raising questions in the class?	/			
8	How much helpful the teacher in advising in and out of the class?		V		

(3. Student Feedback on Infrastructure & overall Analysis of Institution:

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-				
S.No.	Particulars/ Areas of Evaluation		Attributes (Please put '\', Mark)					
5.110.		Very Good	Good	Satisfact ory	Average	Poor		
1	Lecture Halls							
2	Laboratories			V				
3	Library		-/					
4	Sports Facility			/				
5	Teachers		~					
6	Principal		/					
~7.	Non-teaching Staff			Vira	- To	\$., v		

Any Suggestions

provide unlimited access to internet

Name of the student Korivi Greetha

Class

B. com(CA) - I year

Date:

8-12-2019

NAGARJUNA GOVERNMENT COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)



NALGONDA - 508001

(Affiliated to Mahatma Gandhi University)

"Students" Feedback 2019-20

1. STUDENT FEEDBACK ON SYLLABUS

- 1. How is the current syllabus? (Satisfactory / Unsatisfactory) (Please '\sqrt{\text{tick}})
- Is the syllabus sufficiently advanced?
 (Advanced / Moderately Advance / Old) (Please '√' tick)
- 3. What should be the frequency of revision of syllabus? 1 year / 2 year / 3 year (Please $\sqrt[4]{2}$ tick)
- 4. How is the depth of knowledge that would get from syllabus?

 (High / Medium / Low) (Please '√' tick)
- 5. Any suggestion for improvements in the syllabus.

More Clearland in the Clayroon is expected

2. STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHING:



S.No.	Particulars/ Areas of	Attributes (Please put 'V', Mark)			rk)	
5.110.	Evaluation	Very Good	Good	Satisfact ory	Average	Poor
1	Topic explanation skill of the teacher		/			
2	Communication skill of the teacher		/			
3	Interest generated by the teacher					
4	Ability to correlate the subject with other issues					
5	Motivation to students for further studies		/			

6	Encouraging students to participate in the class	/			
7	How far the teacher encourages raising questions in the class?		√		
8	How much helpful the teacher in advising in and out of the class?		/		

3. Student Feedback on Infrastructure & overall Analysis of Institution:

	Marine Transport				~~		
S.No.	Particulars/ Areas of	A	Attributes (Please put '√'Ma				
	Evaluation	Very Good	Good	Satisfact ory	Average	Poor	
1	Lecture Halls			/			
2	Laboratories						
3	Library						
4	Sports Facility						
5	Teachers		/				
6	Principal	/					
7,100	Non-teaching Staff	, ₄	10 Mg/21				

Any Suggestions

Fouide mano feelitres in Cânlean

Name of the student & Nogowyjus Class 04 546

Class BAEHP
Date: 10/8/2019

Nagarjuna Government College (A), Nalgonda Student Feedback

on Overall Analysis of the Institution

Full Name * Kadari kaveri
Roll No 402820503051
Group & Course * Example: BA HEP, BSc MPCS, BCom CA etc M.sc organic chemistry
1. STUDENT FEEDBACK ON SYLLABUS
How is the current syllabus? *
SatisfactoryUnsatisfactory

Is the syllabus sufficiently advanced? *			
•	Advanced		
\bigcirc	Moderate		
0	Old		
What should be the frequency of revision of syllabus? *			
•	Yearly Once		
\bigcirc	Once in Two Years		
0	Once in Three Years		
How is the depth of knowledge that would get from syllabus *			
•	High		
\bigcirc	Medium		
0	Low		
Any suggestion for improvements in the syllabus			
No			
	2. STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHING:		

Topic explanation skill of the teacher *			
Very good			
Good			
○ Satisfactory			
Average			
O Poor			
Communication skill of the teacher *			
Very Good			
Good			
Satisfactory			
Average			
O Poor			
Interest generated by the teacher *			
Very Good			
Good			
Satisfactory			
Average			
O Poor			

Ability to correlate the subject with other issues *			
Very Good			
Good			
Satisfactory			
Average			
O Poor			
Motivation to students for further studies *			
Very Good			
Good			
Satisfactory			
Average			
O Poor			
Encouraging students to participate in the class			
Very Good			
Good			
Satisfactory			
Average			
O Poor			

How far the teacher encourages raising questions in the class? *			
Very Good			
Good			
Satisfactory			
Average			
Poor			
How much helpful the teacher in advising in and out of the class? *			
Very Good			
Very GoodGood			
Good			
Good Satisfactory			
Good Satisfactory Average			
Good Satisfactory Average			

Course available in the College *			
○ BA			
○ BSc			
O BCom			
○ BBA			
MA Telugu			
MA Economics			
MSc Chemistry			
MSc Zoology			
O M Com			
Co-curricular / extracurricular activities *			
Field Visit, Educational tour, Skill Development, Campus Placement, Guest			
✓ Lectures, Annual Day Celebration, Elocution Competitions, Extension Lectures,			
NSS, NCC, Sport activities			
Infrastructure and Overall Facilities			
Classrooms *			
Very Good			
O Very Good			
Very GoodGood			
Very GoodGoodSatisfactory			

Laboratories *			
○ Very Good			
Good			
Satisfactory			
Average			
O Poor			
Library *			
Very Good			
Good			
Satisfactory			
Average			
O Poor			
Sports & Cultural Activities *			
Very Good			
Good			
Satisfactory			
Average			
Poor			

Principal *	
Very Good	
Good	
Satisfactory	
Average	
Poor	
Non-teaching Staff *	
Very Good	
Good	
Satisfactory	
Average	
Poor	
Any Suggestions	
No	

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms